## **CHIS Food Insecurity**

COUNTY	% Food Insecure (FI) w/ and w/o Hunger #	Estimated Population FI	FI w/ and w/o hunger Rank	% Food Insecure WITH hunger (FIWH)	Estimated Population FIWH	FIWH Rank *
Alameda County	21.7%	53,000	49	7.0%	17,000	45
Alpine County <sup>6</sup>	25.2%	11,000	37	10.3%	4,000	28
Amador County <sup>4</sup>	N/A				•	
Butte County	24.2%	N/A 14,000	N/A 43	N/A 11.2%	7,000	N/A 20
Calaveras County <sup>4</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7,000 N/A	N/A
Colusa County <sup>1</sup>	32.6%	12,000	9	12.5%	4,000	6
Contra Costa County	21.6%	27,000	50	4.5%	6,000	52
Del Norte County <sup>2</sup>	31.8%	15,000	13	15.9%	7,000	1
El Dorado County	22.0%	6,000	47	13.0%	4,000	5
Fresno County	35.7%	83,000	2	11.1%	26,000	21
Glenn County <sup>1</sup>	32.6%	12,000	9	12.5%	4,000	6
Humboldt County <sup>2</sup>	31.8%	15,000	13	15.9%	7,000	1
Imperial County	26.2%	13,000	33	4.9%	2,000	50
Inyo County <sup>6</sup>	25.2%	11,000	37	10.3%	4,000	28
Kern County	33.6%	64,000	6	8.3%	16,000	37
<b>Kings County</b>	34.6%	12,000	4	8.7%	3,000	35
Lake County <sup>5</sup>	28.2%	13,000	29	12.0%	5,000	10
Lassen County <sup>9</sup>	30.8%	9,000	18	11.9%	3,000	12
Los Angeles County	30.1%	777,000	23	8.1%	209,000	39
Madera County	32.9%	13,000	8	11.6%	4,000	17
Marin County	26.1%	6,000	34	10.8%	3,000	22
Mariposa County <sup>6</sup>	25.2%	11,000	37	10.3%	4,000	28
Mendocino County <sup>5</sup>	28.2%	13,000	29	12.0%	5,000	10
<b>Merced County</b>	34.1%	22,000	5	10.4%	7,000	26
Modoc County <sup>9</sup>	30.8%	9,000	18	11.9%	3,000	12
Mono County <sup>6</sup>	25.2%	11,000	37	10.3%	4,000	28
Monterey County <sup>8</sup>	29.4%	29,000	24	7.5%	7,000	43
Napa County	31.4%	6,000	17	11.3%	2,000	19
Nevada County <sup>7</sup>	19.8%	5,000	51	4.0%	1,000	53
Orange County	25.5%	156,000	35	5.9%	36,000	48
Placer County	19.0%	6,000	55	7.6%	2,000	42
Plumas County <sup>7</sup>	19.8%	5,000	51	4.0%	1,000	53
Riverside County	27.0%	104,000	31	9.0%	34,000	34
Sacramento County	24.0%	56,000	44	8.1%	19,000	39
San Benito County <sup>8</sup>	29.4%	29,000	24	7.5%	7,000	43
San Bernardino County	28.5%	125,000	28	9.3%	41,000	33
San Diego County	26.9%	168,000	32	8.0%	50,000	41
San Francisco County San Joaquin County	28.8% 32.6%	47,000 44,000	27 9	9.4%	15,000 16,000	32 16
San Joaquin County	32.0%	44,000	9	11./%	10,000	10

## **CHIS Food Insecurity**

COLINER	% Food Insecure (FI) w/ and w/o Hunger #	Estimated Population FI	FI w/ and w/o hunger Rank	% Food Insecure WITH hunger (FIWH)	Estimated Population FIWH	FIWH Rank *
COUNTY						
San Luis Obispo County	25.5%	15,000	35	12.5%	7,000	6
San Mateo County	22.0%	25,000	47 46	6.5%	7,000	46
Santa Barbara County	22.8%	22,000			6,000	
Santa Clara County	25.1%	74,000	41	5.2%	15,000	49
Santa Cruz County	24.5%	14,000	42	8.3%	5,000	37
Shasta County	35.0%	16,000	3	15.6%	7,000	3
Sierra County <sup>7</sup>	19.8%	5,000	51	4.0%	1,000	53
Siskiyou County <sup>9</sup>	30.8%	9,000	18	11.9%	3,000	12
Solano County	30.2%	20,000	22	11.4%	7,000	18
Sonoma County	28.9%	21,000	26	14.1%	1,000	4
Stanislaus County	33.0%	37,000	7	10.4%	12,000	26
Sutter County <sup>3</sup>	31.8%	12,000	13	10.7%	4,000	23
Tehama County <sup>1</sup>	32.6%	12,000	9	12.5%	4,000	6
Trinity County <sup>9</sup>	30.8%	9,000	18	11.9%	3,000	12
<b>Tulare County</b>	41.4%	50,000	1	10.6%	13,000	25
Tuolomne County <sup>4</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ventura County	19.8%	30,000	51	4.8%	7,000	51
Yolo County	22.9%	10,000	45	8.6%	4,000	36
Yuba County <sup>3</sup>	31.8%	12,000	13	10.7%	4,000	23

# Food Insecurity With and Without Hunger - From CFPA's 2003 County Profiles. Data source: UCLA's 2001 California Health Interview Survey data; N = only respondents who indicated that they were living in household with income estimated to be less than 200% of the federal poverty level. Methodology used for measuring food security - The food security measure that was used in CHIS 2001 is an abbreviated six-item scale derived from the 18-item U.S. Household Food Security Module used in national surveys. In CHIS 2001, the food security questions were asked only of individuals in households whose incomes were estimated to be less than 200% of the federal poverty level. The survey asked about a person's food security over the previous 12-month period and focused on the lack of resources or money as reasons for food insecurity. The survey only interviewed persons living in households with telephones.

\* A #1 ranking represents the county with the worst Food Insecurity. Counties with identical prevelence rates are assigned the same ranking and are followed by sequencing skips.

Counties in **bold** type are the top 10 counties with the worst Food Insecurity w/w/o hunger.

- 1. County grouping of Tehama, Glenn, Colusa
- 2. County grouping of Del Norte & Humboldt
- 3. County grouping of Sutter & Yuba
- 4. County grouping of Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador
- 5. County grouping of Mendocino & Lake
- 6. County grouping of Alpine, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono
- 7. County grouping of Nevada, Plumas, Sierra
- 8. County grouping of Monterey & San Benito
- 9. County grouping of Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, Trinity